Nonstationary Seismic Reflectivity Inversion Based on

Prior-engaged Mixed Dimensional Deep Learning Method

Hongling Chen, Mauricio D Sacchi SAIG Annual Meeting





Outline

- Introduction
- Theory
- Examples
- Conclusions
- Acknowledgments





✓ Improving the resolution of the seismic data is conductive to obtaining the high-resolution impedance.

□Field data case



The field data is usually nonstationary because of the heterogeneous, anisotropic, and anelastic mediums.

We focus on the anelastic attenuation and dispersion, i.e., the wavelet is time-varying with amplitude attenuation and phase dispersion.

CRelated work

There are two kinds of methods:



□ Mathematical framework of nonstationary reflectivity inversion

$$\tilde{r} = \underset{r}{\arg\min L(r, y)} + \Phi(r)$$
----- y is the observed seismic data
----- r is the estimated high-resolution result
----- L(·) is the loss function
----- $\Phi(\cdot)$ is the penalty term

Key challenges: 1. estimating the time-varying wavelets or Q values;

- 2. pre-determining the regularization terms and parameters;
- 3. depending on the initial values;
- 4. low computational efficiency.

D How to apply deep learning to solve the seismic inverse problems?



- proximal operators
- Learn hyper-parameters

Model-Driven Deep Learning

D Model-Driven Deep Learning







ISTA-Net (Zhang Jian et al., 2018) $\underbrace{soft(..0^{(r)})}_{x^{(k)}} \underbrace{soft(..0^{(r)})}_{y^{(k)}} \underbrace{soft(..0^{(r)})}_{y^{(k)}} \underbrace{soft(..0^{(r)})}_{y^{(k)}} \underbrace{soft(..0^{(r)})}_{x^{(k)}} \underbrace{soft($









s(t): seismic trace r(t): reflectivity n(t): random noise w(t): source wavelet $a(t, \tau)$: impulse response of the attenuation process

DNonstationary convolution model

According to Margrave et al. (2011), nonstationary seismic trace can be modeled by

$$s(t) = w(t) * a(t,\tau) \odot r(t) + n(t)$$
⁽¹⁾

Based on the Kolsky-Futterman Q model, $a(t, \tau)$ is defined as:

$$a(t,\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha(t,f) e^{2\pi i f \tau} df = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi f t}{Q}} e^{i\frac{1}{\pi} \ln(\frac{f}{f_r})\frac{2\pi f t}{Q}} e^{2\pi i f \tau} df$$
(2)

where $\alpha(t, f)$ is the attenuation function, including amplitude attenuation and phase dispersion.



 $w(t, \tau)$: time-varying wavelet **s**, **r**, **n**: vectors of seismic trace, reflectivity and noise Ω_j : the *jth* window **w**_i: wavelet in the *jth* window

DNonstationary convolution model

Rewrite equation (1) as:

$$s(t) = w(t,\tau) \odot r(t) + n(t)$$
(3)

where the wavelet is time-varying during propagation. This increases the instability and uncertainty of the inversion solution. Here, it is simplified as:

$$\mathbf{s} \approx \sum_{j=1}^{B} \mathbf{w}_{j} * [\mathbf{r}\Omega_{j}] + \mathbf{n}$$
(4)

Using a set of windows to segment the reflectivity and treating that the wavelet at each window is stationary. In this case, attenuation function $\alpha(t, f)$ is considered to be slowly changing relative to the windows.

Theory

□ Nonstationary convolution model

Hojjat 2019



Theory

□ Seismic reflectivity inversion-SRI framework

To invert the reflectivity from equation (4), the following cost function is built as:

$$= \min_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} \mathbf{w}_j * [\mathbf{r}\Omega_j]||_2^2 + \lambda \Psi(\mathbf{r}) + \mu \Phi(\mathbf{w})$$
(5)

There are some limitations:

- Requiring to set the initial values for the seismic wavelets;
- Optimization algorithms are usually computationally demanding;
- Pre-determining the regularization terms and some sensitive parameters.



PMDDLM: Deep learning based nonstationary SRI

To alleviate the above limitations, we use the convolutional neural network to replace the gradient components. To derive the deep neural network, we start from the optimization of equation (5).

$$J = \min_{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} \mathbf{w}_{j} * [\mathbf{r}\Omega_{j}]||_{2}^{2} + \lambda \Psi(\mathbf{r}) + \mu \Phi(\mathbf{w})$$
(5)
splitting

$$J_{1} = \min_{\mathbf{w}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} \mathbf{w}_{j} * [\mathbf{r}\Omega_{j}]||_{2}^{2} + \mu \Phi(\mathbf{w})$$
(6)

$$J_2 = \min_{\mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{s} - \sum_{j=1}^{B} \mathbf{w}_j * [\mathbf{r}\Omega_j]||_2^2 + \lambda \Psi(\mathbf{r})$$
(7)

Theory

PMDDLM: Deep learning based nonstationary SRI

For each sub-problems, we use half-quadratic splitting algorithm to solve them, and then obtain the following solutions:

$$\mathbf{x}^{k} = Concat \{ F^{H} \frac{\left(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}^{k-1}\right)^{*} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{s}}_{j} + 2\xi^{k} \overline{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{k-1}}{\left(\overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}^{k-1}\right)^{*} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}^{k-1} + 2\xi^{k}} \}$$
(8-1)

$$\mathbf{w}^{k} = \mathbf{w}^{k-1} - v^{k} \left(\mu^{k} \nabla \Phi(\mathbf{w}^{k-1}) + 2\xi^{k} (\mathbf{w}^{k-1} - \mathbf{x}^{k}) \right)$$
(8-2)

$$\mathbf{z}^{k} = \sum_{j=1}^{B} F^{H} \frac{\left(\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{k}\right)^{*} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{s}}_{j} + 2\beta^{k} \overline{\mathbf{r}}_{j}^{k-1}}{\left(\overline{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{k}\right)^{*} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{k} + 2\beta^{k}}$$
(8-3)

$$\mathbf{r}^{k} = \mathbf{r}^{k-1} - \zeta^{k} \left(\lambda^{k} \nabla \Psi(\mathbf{r}^{k-1}) + 2\beta^{k} (\mathbf{r}^{k-1} - \mathbf{z}^{k}) \right)$$
(8-4)



PMDDLM: Deep learning based nonstationary SRI

Using CNN to replace the gradients components:





DPMDDLM: Deep learning based nonstationary SRI

Unrolling the iterations parts in equation (8):





Synthetic data example





19

Synthetic data example



$$extsf{PCC} \ = rac{\sum \left(x_i - ar{x}
ight) \left(y_i - ar{y}
ight)}{\sqrt{\sum \left(x_i - ar{x}
ight)^2 \sum \left(y_i - ar{y}
ight)^2}} \ .$$

r = correlation coefficient

 x_i = values of the x-variable in a sample

 $ar{x}\,$ = mean of the values of the x-variable

 $y_i\,$ = values of the y-variable in a sample

 $ar{y}$ = mean of the values of the y-variable



□Synthetic data example



Synthetic data example





NBD inverted wavelets

□Synthetic data example



Synthetic data example





Using well-1, well-2, well-3, well5 to train and well-4 to validate.

□Field data example



□Field data example



□Field data example



filtered reflectivity of well-4

□Field data example



Amplitude spectra of the entire data profile, the first half part, and the second half part

□Field data example



Conclusions

- We build a prior-engaged neural network framework by unrolling an alternating iterative optimization algorithm to simultaneously estimate the reflectivity and time-varying wavelets;
- We introduce two data-consistency losses to learn the time-varying wavelets and transfer the knowledge from the unlabeled data;
- We add a regularization term in the loss function to constrain the time-varying wavelets to make them smooth in the spatial direction;
- Some experiments are conducted to show the effectiveness of the proposed method.

Acknowledgments

- Sponsors of the Signal Analysis and Imaging Group at the University of Alberta
- CNOOC providing the field data